



Chairperson
 Merseyside Recycling and Waste Authority (MRWA)
 7th Floor
 Mo. 1 Mann Island
 Liverpool
 Merseyside
 L3 1BP

cc Chris Kelsall, Director of Finance

Internal Audit

Town Hall
 Victoria Square
 St. Helens
 Merseyside
 WA10 1HP

Contact: Richard Thomas
 Head of Audit and Risk
 richardthomasaudit@sthelens.gov.uk

13 May 2026

Dear Chair,

Re: MRWA Audit Opinion 2025/26

I write to confirm to Members of the Authority, based on audit work undertaken during the 2025/26 financial year and the sampling of transactions, that I am not aware of any significant control issues that require bringing to the Authority's attention in relation to the operation of its governance, risk management and internal control framework.

In providing my opinion, I remind Members that no assurance can ever be absolute, and it is inevitable that control issues will arise within the MRWA, given its size and scale of operation.

During 2025/26 the following internal audit reports were presented to Members:

- | | |
|---|-----------------------|
| • Closed Site Management | Substantial Assurance |
| • Fundamental Financial Systems | Limited Assurance |
| • Waste Management Contract | Substantial Assurance |
| • Procurement | Reasonable Assurance |
| • Future Waste Procurement Arrangements | Reasonable Assurance |

The above states the level of assurance given regarding the adequacy and effectiveness of internal control in relation to the areas under review. For information purposes, Internal Audit definitions attached at Appendix 1.

At the time of writing, the following audit reviews are ongoing, and reports will be presented to the next Authority meeting:

- ICT Cyber Security

Any control issues arising from our work have been addressed by way of action plans for improvement, which have been agreed with management together with specified timescales for implementation. It is the responsibility of action owners and the Board to ensure that recommendations are implemented.

In accordance with our Service Level Agreement and MRWA's reliance upon St Helens Council's financial systems, I also confirm that I am satisfied, based on audit work undertaken during the financial



year, that the Authority continues to maintain a sound control framework and there are no issues I consider require bringing to your attention.

I trust that this meets your requirements.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Richard Thomas".

Richard Thomas
Head of Audit and Risk

Internal Audit Definitions

Assurance Levels

- Substantial** A sound system of governance, risk management and control exists, with internal controls operating effectively and being consistently applied to support the achievement of objectives in the area audited.
- Reasonable** There is a generally sound system of governance, risk management and control in place. Some issues, non-compliance or scope for improvement were identified which may put at risk the achievement of objectives in the area audited.
- Limited** Significant gaps, weaknesses or non-compliance were identified. Improvement is required to the system of governance, risk management and control to effectively manage risks to the achievement of objectives in the area audited.
- No** Immediate action is required to address fundamental gaps, weaknesses or non-compliance identified. The system of governance, risk management and control is inadequate to effectively manage risks to the achievement of objectives in the area audited.

Recommendation Priority

- Critical** Failure to address the risk could potentially lead to catastrophic loss of council services; loss of life; significant environmental damage or major financial loss; with national press coverage and substantial damage to the council's reputation. Remedial action must be taken immediately.
- High** Failure to address the address the risk could potentially lead to failure to achieve organisational objectives, serious injuries, significant disruption to council business or to users of its services, high financial loss, inefficient use of resources, failure to comply with law or regulations, damage to the council's reputation. Remedial action must be taken urgently.
- Medium** Failure to address the risk could potentially lead to an impact on operational objectives, moderate injuries, moderate financial loss, moderate breach of law or regulations, moderate reputational damage. Prompt specific action should be taken.
- Low** Matters that individually have no major impact on achieving the service's objectives. Specific remedial action is desirable.

