

Merseyside Waste Disposal Authority

Audit progress report and sector updates

6 November 2025



Contents

Introduction	04
Progress at November 2025	05
Regaining assurance	07
Audit deliverables	10
Sector updates	11
Audit Committee Resources	22

Audit Progress Report

Introduction

Liz A Luddington

Key Audit Partner

T: 0161 953 6410

E: Liz.A.Luddington@uk.gt.com

Curtis AG Wallace

Audit Manager

T: 0151 224 0891

E: Curtis.AG.Wallace@uk.gt.com

Rhea A Morang

Assistant Manager

T: 0161 953 6422

E: Rhea.A.Morang@uk.gt.com

This paper provides the Audit and Governance Committee with a report on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors.

The paper also includes a series of sector updates in respect of emerging issues which the Committee may wish to consider.

Members of the Audit and Governance Committee can find further useful material on our website, where we have a section dedicated to our work in the public sector. Here you can download copies of our publications: <https://www.grantthornton.co.uk/industries/public-sector/local-government/>

If you would like further information on any items in this briefing or would like to register with Grant Thornton to receive regular email updates on issues that are of interest to you, please contact either your Engagement Lead or Engagement Manager.

Progress at November 2025

Financial Statements Audit 2023/24

Our auditor's report was signed on 7 August 2025 and has been published within your 2023/24 financial statements on the Authority's website. The auditor's report sets out that a 'disclaimer of opinion' was issued in line with auditing standards. Briefly, this means that we were unable to form an opinion on the reasonableness of the figures stated in the Authority and group financial statements, due to the limitations imposed on us by the previous years' financial statements not being audited. See pages 7-9 for more details on this.

Financial Statements Audit 2024/25

We have completed the detailed audit risk assessment for the 2024/25 financial year and commenced our audit fieldwork. Our audit plan for 2024/25 was presented to the Audit Committee in May 2025. The results of our work will be presented in the Audit Findings Report, and we remain on track to issue our opinion on the Statement of Accounts by January 2026.

Value for Money

We aim to issue all 2024/25 value for money audit reviews in draft by 30 November 2025 as required by the Cipfa Code.

We have already issued a key recommendation for 2024/25 as part of our 2023/24 Auditor's Annual Report in respect of the change of ledger and lack of in-year financial reporting information.

Progress at November 2025 (continued)

Events

On 4th June 2025 we hosted a webinar on devolution and local government re-organisation, and lessons from our 2023/24 value for money audits. The recording can be accessed here: [Audit committee webinar](#).

The next event taking place shortly will be:

- ❖ 27th January 2026 – webinar providing updates on managing local authority debt; and on preparing for local government reorganisation.

Invitations will be available shortly on our website or can be obtained from your Engagement Lead or Audit Manager.

Meetings

As part of our audit for 2024/25, we have met with Chris Kelsall (Director of Finance) and Tim Shaw (Finance Manager)

We have

- Agreed a timetable and deliverables with Management
- Issued the Audit Plan to Management and the Audit Committee
- Undertaken planning risk assessment procedures with the assistance of Management and began substantive testing of material balances and transaction

Audit Fees

PSAA have published their scale fees for 2024/25 [2024/25 audit fee scale – PSAA](#)

For Merseyside Waste Disposal Authority these fees are £166,059 for the Authority Fund. These fees are derived from the procurement exercise carried out by PSAA in 2022. They reflect both the increased work auditors must now undertake as well as the scarcity of audit firms willing to do this work.

Regaining assurance

Previous years' audits

The Authority has had no substantial audit since 2017/18.

On 30 September 2024, the Accounts and Audit (Amendment) Regulations 2024 came into force. This legislation introduced a series of backstop dates for local authority audits, requiring audited financial statements to be published by certain dates. As a result of these backstop dates, a disclaimer of opinion was issued for each of the 2018/19, 2019/20, 2020/21 and 2021/22 financial years on 18 December 2024, and a disclaimer of opinion was issued for the 2022/23 and 2023/24 financial years on 7 August 2025.

2024/25 and future years

The Authority is required to publish audited financial statements for the 2024/25 financial year by 27 February 2026. As a result of the disclaimer of opinion issued for the previous five financial years, we do not expect to be able to undertake sufficient work to support an unmodified audit opinion on the 2024/25 financial statements in advance of the backstop date.

A major challenge for auditors in regaining assurance, and returning to an unqualified audit opinion, is that without undertaking audit work in respect of old year transactions, where these were not subject to audit procedures, there will be uncertainty as whether reserves have been properly accounted for.

The National Audit Office (NAO) has published a new set of guidance for auditors, as part of its Local Audit Reset and Recovery Guidance (LARRIG) series. This sets out key considerations that may assist auditors in regaining assurance at previously backstopped audits.

Regaining assurance (continued)

2024/25 and future years (continued)

The LARRIG provides principles as well as indicative procedures which, with the application of professional judgement, enable the auditor to regain assurance in respect of opening balances. These include a framework for auditors to:

- Assess risk at an entity wide level
- Assess risk at a line item level including in respect of specific balances and reserves
- Determine a response to risk, including appropriate testing of prior year transactions.

For many authorities a reasonable pathway exists through the application of the auditing standards to where the auditor may issue an opinion that is based upon sufficient appropriate audit evidence. The guidance is clear that the timeframe in which this may be achieved may vary depending on the circumstances of individual engagements. It is also clear that there may be authorities where it may not be possible to recover assurance solely through the application of the auditing standards.

The first priority at all audited bodies which have previously been backstopped is to gain assurance regarding in year transactions and closing balances for the current audit year. This is the approach which we will adopt over the coming months for your audit for 2024/25. If we were to continue to complete planned work on in-year transactions and closing balances, with no issues arising, over the coming years we could regain assurance over many balances and transactions in the financial statements. The exception being the Authority's reserves balances. We set out on the next page a simplified illustration of how this assurance might build.

The Authority should be able to obtain grant funding under a Section 31 Grant Determination to support the build-back of assurance. The government has set out its expectation that local authorities and audit firms work closely together to enable this build back to happen. We are currently piloting an approach at other local authorities which is intended to remove the disclaimer from future audit reports.

Timely preparation of draft accounts and high quality supporting working papers is fundamental to the success of audit closedown. We look for all local authorities to prioritise this in enabling the sector to return to balance. In addition, agreeing timescales for build back work will also be key.

Regaining assurance (continued)

R	No assurance
A	Partial assurance
G	Adequate assurance

The below is a simplified illustration of how assurance might build over the coming years’ audits:

2024/25 audit process				2025/26 audit process				2026/27 audit process			
	23/24	24/25			24/25	25/26			25/26	26/27	
CIES	R	A	No assurance over the opening 24/25 position	CIES	A	G	No assurance over the opening 24/25 position	CIES	G	G	Assurance over transactions in both years
PPE	R	A	Assurance gained over valuations at 31/03/25	PPE	A	A	Assurance gained over valuations at 31/03/26	PPE	A	G	Assume rolling valuation programme complete
Pensions	R	A	Assurance gained over 2025 actuarial process	Pensions	A	G	Triennial valuation of NPF completed and reported	Pensions	G	G	Assurance over balances at both year-ends
Other assets & liabilities	R	A	Elements may require >1 year to gain assurance	Other assets & liabilities	A	G	Assurance over all material balances at 31/03/26	Other assets & liabilities	G	G	Assurance over balances at both year-ends
Reserves	R	R	No assurance over reserve balances at 31/03/24	Reserves	R	R	No assurance over reserve balances at 31/03/24	Reserves	R	R	No assurance over reserve balances at 31/03/24

Reserves balances

The Authority is required to present its reserves balances in a prescribed manner, with distinct balances arising from statutory requirements and ringfences. These reserves are complex, and have no audit assurance for six years.

We are discussing with the Director of Finance how re-assurance can be best achieved at your authority, including the appropriate timing of further audit work.

Audit Deliverables

Below are some of the audit deliverables planned for 2024/25

2024/25 Deliverables	Planned Date	Status
Audit Plan We are required to issue a detailed audit plan to the Audit Committee setting out our proposed approach in order to give an opinion on the Authority’s 2024/25 financial statements.	Presented to the Audit Committee in May 2025.	Complete
Audit Findings Report The Audit Findings Report will be reported to the Audit Committee.	January 2026	Not yet due.
Auditors Report This includes the opinion on your financial statements.	January 2026	Not yet due
Auditor’s Annual Report This report communicates the key outputs of the audit, including our commentary on the Authority's value for money arrangements.	November 2025 for draft reporting to be discussed with management	Not yet due

Sector Updates

Lessons from 2023/24 auditors' annual reports

Recommended reading for Audit Committees:

In August 2025, we published a review of 100 Auditors' Annual Reports (AARs) produced by Grant Thornton for our local government audited bodies across England. This represents about a third of all councils in the country. The AARs offer a wealth of insights on what works, and what doesn't, when it comes to value for money and governance.

The reports in our sample showed that financial sustainability remains the major challenge for the majority of councils. Poor governance has led to some councils depleting their reserves and others incurring excessive borrowing, which current government policies around exceptional financial support and statutory override for dedicated schools grant deficits are not helping.

Common challenges for councils include gaps in risk management; high vacancy rates in internal audit; de-centralised contract management; under-supported project management; and the need for stronger, timelier data on performance. For Councils with Housing Revenue Accounts, there are also significant challenges with identifying, costing and managing high volumes of backlog repairs and maintenance work needed to meet regulatory standards.

However, with this being the second year of reporting on lessons from AARs, we also charted notable examples of cases where arrangements have been strengthened since 2022/23, yielding benefits. As well as good practice questions and reminders, the report includes case studies showing better: Control over transformation planning; approach to internal audit; project management; key performance indicator reporting; and rightsized workforce.

AAR findings in August 2025 can be compared to those from one year earlier by accessing the two years of full reporting here:

[Lessons from 2023/24 auditors' annual reports](#)

[Lessons from recent auditor's annual reports](#)



Financial Instruments in Local Government Accounts (1)

Recommended reading for Audit Committees:

Financial instruments are contracts that give rise to a financial asset for one party and a financial liability or equity instrument for another. In local government, these include a wide range of arrangements such as cash, loans, trade receivables and payables, pooled investments, financial guarantees, and more complex instruments like derivatives or loans with embedded features.

These instruments are governed by accounting standards and can significantly influence how a council's financial position and performance are presented in the accounts. Proper identification and treatment of these instruments are essential to ensure that financial statements reflect the true nature of the authority's financial commitments and exposures.

The accounting for financial instruments is not just a technical exercise. It has real implications for financial planning, risk management, and public accountability. Misclassification or incorrect measurement can lead to material misstatements, unexpected financial impacts, or audit challenges. Financial instruments can affect key areas such as the General Fund, usable reserves, and statutory reporting.

Ensuring that these instruments are correctly accounted for supports transparency, compliance with professional and statutory requirements, and the safeguarding of public resources.

We have recently released a thought leadership report, "Local authority accounting: Avoiding pitfalls in financial instruments" which covers financial instruments in detail.

Our full report includes insight about some of the potential pitfalls relating to financial instruments that can occur in local authority accounts. In addition, each section includes a range of challenge questions for authorities to consider.

The table on the next page highlights key areas of focus in accounting for financial instruments, along with explanatory context and suggested questions that Audit Committee members may wish to raise with management.

The full report is available here:

[Local authority accounting: Avoiding pitfalls in financial instruments | Grant Thornton](#)



Financial Instruments in Local Government Accounts (2)

Key areas of focus in accounting for financial instruments, along with explanatory context and suggested questions for Audit Committees to ask:

Area of Focus	Description	Challenge Questions
Identification	Proper identification ensures that all relevant instruments are captured in the financial statements and assessed for risk and impact. While some items like loans and investments are obvious, others may be less visible.	- How have you ensured that all financial instruments, including less obvious or complex arrangements, have been identified? What controls are in place? - Have any new or unusual arrangements been reviewed for potential financial instrument implications?
Classification	Financial instruments must be classified based on how they are managed and the nature of their cash flows. Classification determines how movements are reported in the financial statements and can influence the volatility of reported results.	- What process is followed to determine the classification of financial instruments, and how do you ensure that the classification reflects the nature of the financial instrument, including both business purpose and characteristics? - Have any instruments been classified differently this year, and if so, why?
Measurement	Once identified and classified, financial instruments must be measured appropriately. Measurement affects reported balances and income, and errors can lead to misstatements.	- What valuation methods are used for financial instruments, and how are they validated? Were any experts required during this process? - Do changes in assumptions or market conditions require remeasurement?
Disclosure	Disclosures help users of the financial statements understand the nature, significance, and risks of financial instruments. Disclosures should be tailored to your specific circumstances, avoiding unnecessary complexity or boilerplate language.	- How do you ensure that disclosures are tailored to reflect the authority's specific financial instruments and risks, and are any additional disclosures required for unusual or complex financial instruments, or for particular risks? - Are disclosures complete, clear, and free from unnecessary detail?
Other Considerations	Other considerations include soft loans, capital treatment of financial assets, statutory overrides, and the requirement to make prudent revenue provisions (MRP) for certain losses. These adjustments can have a direct impact on financial planning and budget setting.	- Have all relevant statutory overrides and adjustments been correctly applied and disclosed, and what impact have these adjustments had on the General Fund or other usable reserves? - Are expected credit losses / impairments reflected in the financial strategy?

The Local Authority Backstop

Key information for Audit Committees to be aware of:

On 5th June 2025, the National Audit Office published [Local Audit Reset and Recovery Implementation Guidance \(LARRIG 06I\)](#). This followed on from the fact that on 28 February 2025, approximately 40% of local authorities received an unqualified opinion on their financial statements for 2023/24; and the remaining 60% of audits were disclaimed as auditors had not been able to conclude work by the deadline.

We are pleased to report that Grant Thornton issued unqualified opinions on 65% of our local authority audits, well ahead of the national average. Nevertheless, all Audit Committees need to be aware of work currently ongoing across the sector to help rebuild assurance for stakeholders.

How you can support us

Timely preparation of draft accounts and high quality supporting working papers is fundamental to the success of audit closedown. We look for all local authorities to prioritise this in enabling the sector to return to balance. In addition, agreeing timescales for build back work will also be key.

An audit approach to build back assurance on financial statements

The LARRIG provides principles as well as indicative procedures which, with the application of professional judgement, enable the auditor to regain assurance in respect of opening balances. These include a framework for auditors to:

- ❖ Assess risk at an entity wide level
- ❖ Assess risk at a line-item level including in respect of specific balances and reserves
- ❖ Determine a response to risk, including appropriate testing of prior year transactions.

This will enable auditors to undertake audit work in respect of old year transactions (e.g. years which were not subject to an audit). Without that work, there would be uncertainty as whether reserves are properly accounted for.

Grant Funding for build back

The first priority at all audited bodies which have previously been backstopped is to gain assurance regarding in year transactions and closing balances for the current audit year. This is the approach which we will adopt over the coming months for your audit for 2024/25.

The government has set out its expectation that local authorities and audit firms work closely together to enable this build back to happen. We are currently piloting an approach at other local authorities which is intended to remove the disclaimer from future audit reports. We will discuss with the Director of Finance how re-assurance can be best achieved at your authority, including the appropriate timing of further audit work.

Other structural changes

Key information for Audit Committees to be aware of:



Multi-year allocations – 11th June 2025

The Spending Review on 11th June 2025 committed to multi-year allocations through the upcoming 2026/27 Local Government Finance Settlement. An assessment of each council's needs and resources was also committed to.

[Spending Review 2025 \(HTML\) - GOV.UK](#)

Additional information on the Spending Review and Fair Funding Review can be seen on pages 16 and 17 of this update.



Simplified local structures – 24th June 2025

The Minister of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government announced on 24th June 2025 that Councils with a committee system will be required to transition to a leader and cabinet model. He also announced a ban on creating new directly elected council mayors.

[Written statements - Written questions, answers and statements - UK Parliament](#)



Pensions pooling – 11th August 2025

Seven Council pension funds announced plans to join the Border to Coast pool on 11th August 2025. The government has committed to allow some “limited flexibility” to other administering authorities looking for new asset pools (moving away from Access and Brunel) but does expect all to conform as closely as possible to the 31 March 2026 deadline for meeting new minimum standards set for asset pooling.

[Pension Investment Review Final Report](#)



English Devolution and Community Empowerment

The English Devolution and Community Empowerment Bill was presented to the House of Commons and given its first reading on 10th July 2025; and its second reading on 2nd September 2025. With ayes of 365 and noes of 164 on 2nd September, the Bill now moves to Committee stage.

[English Devolution and Community Empowerment Bill](#)

Local government financial sustainability

Key information for Audit Committees to be aware of:

On 18th June 2025, the Committee of Public Accounts reported that “MHCLG has implemented short-term and unsustainable approaches to keep local government afloat”.

As evidence, the Committee reported that :

- ❖ Forty-two local authorities had to receive exceptional financial support;
- ❖ Spending on special educational needs and disabilities has outstripped the money available from the Department for Education to pay for it.

Adding to concern, the Committee also reported:

- ❖ MHCLG does not know if the billions spent delivering services locally results in better outcomes for people;
- ❖ Neither MHCLG nor HM Treasury have assessed the impact that increases in national insurance contributions will have; and
- ❖ There is significant uncertainty around how the proposed local government finance reforms and reorganisation will be implemented.

Two days later, on 20th June 2025, the government announced that the statutory override for dedicated schools grant deficits will be extended by another two years, until 31 March 2028. There is no clarity yet about how the debt associated with the grant will be managed once this new period of statutory override ends.

For wider debt burdens, the [LocalGov daily bulletin 19th August 2025](#) , reported that Freedom of Information request responses from 254 councils found that:

- ❖ There has been a 60% increase in Council debt over the last sixty years; and
- ❖ Roughly a fifth of council tax revenue is being spent on payments for debt interest.

For a full copy of the Committee of Public Accounts report see [Local Government Financial Sustainability](#).



The Spending Review

Key questions for Audit Committees to ask officers:

- ❖ Have we calculated what impact the Spending Review will have on the assumptions in our medium-term financial plan?
- ❖ If the impact is negative, what mitigation is planned?

Background:

The Spending Review on 12th February 2025 did not directly address local government debt (other than that in some cases exceptional financial support increases the debt). However, the Spending Review did provide an additional £3.3 billion of grant funding in real terms for local authorities in 2028/29 compared with 2023/24. This included:

- ❖ Over £4 billion of funding available for adult social care in 2028-29 compared to 2025/26.
- ❖ £555 million to help more children stay with their families; and £560 million, between 2026/27 and 2029/30, to refurbish and expand children's homes and foster care placements.
- ❖ £39 billion for a successor to the Affordable Homes Programme over 10 years from 2026/27 to 2035/36.

- ❖ £100 million for a new community partnership approach to spending on adults with complex needs.

The Spending Review also announced a new £3.25 billion Transformation Fund to support the reform of public services so that they are focused on prevention, including for special educational needs and disability and homelessness.

The intention is that investment in digital technology and artificial intelligence transformation programmes will drive productivity improvements and help to deliver the government's missions.

[Spending Review 2025 \(HTML\) - GOV.UK](#)



Fair Funding Review 2.0

Key questions for Audit Committee to ask officers:

- ❖ What impact do we expect the Fair Funding Review to have on our medium-term financial plan?
- ❖ Have we calculated what level of support we will need from transitional arrangements?
- ❖ What mitigations are we planning if we don't receive transitional support?

Background:

Between June and August 2025, the government ran a [public Fair Funding Review consultation](#) on how it should implement Fair Funding Review 2, including on how the local government grant system should be made fairer and how transitional arrangements should work.

Under the Fair Funding Review, significant changes to the grant funding system for English local government are now expected to take effect on 1st April 2026, for the 2026/27 financial year. It is expected that grant funding will be allocated to English local authorities using a three-part system, consisting of an assessment of relative need, based on socio-economic indicators; an area costs adjustment; and a resource assessment, measuring the capacity of each council to raise council tax.

It is expected that:

- ❖ There will be no further retained business rates revenue;
- ❖ Recent spending on social care and deprivation will influence the formula; and
- ❖ There will be reduced funding for Councils with higher capacity to raise council tax.

The new methodology will apply to the Revenue Support Grant, which will also swallow up several other smaller grants that Councils currently receive.

Because the existing system has been untouched for many years, and because no new money will accompany the review, there are likely to be some very large changes to some councils' funding allocations.

The [Local Government Information Unit](#) recently argued that “in many ways (the changes) will start to put England back onto its pre-2013 footing”; and a three-year transitional period has been proposed.

Nevertheless, the changes are going to be difficult for some Councils to absorb, especially those that already have other issues with their financial sustainability.



Public procurement

Key questions for Audit Committee to ask officers:

- ❖ How much do we currently spend per annum on contracts with small and medium-sized enterprises and voluntary, community and social enterprises?
- ❖ Do we test whether our suppliers pay their creditors within appropriate timescales?
- ❖ Which outsourced services, if any, have we assessed to test whether outsourcing is still the best solution?

Background:

Between June and September 2025, the government consulted on public procurement. With an estimated £385 billion spent through public procurement every year, the consultation is intended to support implementation of the new National Procurement Policy Statement.



Cabinet Office

Proposals that are being consulted on include:

- ❖ Mandating large contracting authorities with procurement spend over £100 million per annum to publish their own 3-year target for direct spend with small and medium-sized enterprises and voluntary, community and social enterprises; and report against it annually;
- ❖ excluding suppliers from bidding for major contracts (over £5 million per annum) if they cannot demonstrate they pay their invoices within an average of 60 days;
- ❖ requiring contracting authorities to make a standard assessment before procuring a major contract to test whether service delivery should be inhouse or outsourced;
- ❖ mandating contracting authorities to carry out a public interest test prior to making a sourcing decision on major service contracts; and
- ❖ requiring contracting authorities to publish the results of the public interest test in the tender notice.

The government states that the proposals will “open up more opportunities for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) and voluntary, community, and social enterprises (VCSEs), which are vital for driving the UK economy”.

For a full understanding of the proposals that were put forward, follow this link: [Public Procurement: Growing British industry, jobs and skills](#)

Future Webinars for Audit Committee members



We plan to hold a webinar for members of Audit Committees on 27th January 2026. Invitations will be available shortly on our website or can be obtained from your Engagement Lead or Audit Manager.

Areas our webinar will help with include:

Managing debt:

Understanding the true level of debt across all sources;

Assessing the viability of plans for debt repayment;

Understanding and assessing current and future exposure to risk; and

Best practice for Councils managing debt.

Local government reorganisation:

Understanding and anticipating outcomes from the latest submissions;

Managing change whilst waiting for decision announcements; and

Preparing for next steps after decision announcements.

Audit Committee resources

The Audit Committee and organisational effectiveness in local authorities (CIPFA):

<https://www.cipfa.org/services/support-for-audit-committees/local-authority-audit-committees>

LGA Regional Audit Forums for Audit Committee Chairs

These are convened at least three times a year and are supported by the LGA. The forums provide an opportunity to share good practice, discuss common issues and offer training on key topics. Forums are organised by a lead authority in each region. Please email ami.beeton@local.gov.uk LGA Senior Adviser, for more information.

Public Sector Internal Audit Standards

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/public-sector-internal-audit-standards>

Code of Audit Practice for local auditors (NAO):

<https://www.nao.org.uk/code-audit-practice/>

Governance risk and resilience framework: material for those with a leadership responsibility on good governance (CfGS):

<https://www.cfgs.org.uk/material-for-those-with-a-leadership-responsibility-on-good-governance/>

The Three Lines of Defence Model (IAA)

<https://www.theiia.org/globalassets/documents/resources/the-iias-three-lines-model-an-update-of-the-three-lines-of-defense-july-2020/three-lines-model-updated-english.pdf>

Risk Management Guidance / The Orange Book (UK Government):

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/orange-book>

CIPFA Guidance and Codes

The following all have a charge, so do make enquiries to determine if copies are available within your organisation.

Audit Committees: Practical Guidance For Local Authorities And Police

<https://www.cipfa.org/policy-and-guidance/publications/a/audit-committees-practical-guidance-for-local-authorities-and-police-2022-edition>

Delivering Good Governance in Local Government

<https://www.cipfa.org/policy-and-guidance/publications/d/delivering-good-governance-in-local-government-framework-2016-edition>

Financial Management Code

<https://www.cipfa.org/fmcode>

Prudential Code

<https://www.cipfa.org/policy-and-guidance/publications/t/the-prudential-code-for-capital-finance-in-local-authorities-2021-edition>

Treasury Management Code

<https://www.cipfa.org/policy-and-guidance/publications/t/treasury-management-in-the-public-services-code-of-practice-and-crossectoral-guidance-notes-2021-edition>



© 2024 Grant Thornton. All rights reserved.

'Grant Thornton' refers to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires. Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL) and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.