MERSEYSIDE WASTE DISPOSAL AUTHORITY OUTTURN REPORT 2005-2006 WDA/22/06

Recommendation:

- 1. That Members note the final outturn position with regard to the Authority's Capital and Revenue Expenditure for 2005-2006.
- 2. That Members note the final outturn with regard to the Authority's Prudential Indicators as included in Appendix 3.

MERSEYSIDE WASTE DISPOSAL AUTHORITY OUTTURN REPORT 2005-2006 WDA/22/06

Joint Report of the Treasurer to the Authority and the Director of Waste Disposal

1. Purpose of the Report

To advise Members of the final outturn with regard to the Authority's Capital and Revenue Expenditure in 2005-2006. The final outturn to the Authority's Prudential Indicators are enclosed in the report.

2. <u>Background</u>

The Authority operates a quarterly monitoring cycle as incorporated in its Financial Instructions which support the Financial Procedure Rules.

3. Capital Expenditure

The Capital Expenditure Outturn is enclosed at Appendix 1. It shows an underspend of £4M against the original Programme for the Bidston/Gillmoss Integrated Project. Members were advised of the changed phasing when revised Prudential Indicators were approved at the Authority Budget meeting on 3 February 2006. Reasons for variations to that position are given at Appendix 1.

4. Revenue Expenditure

4.1 The Revenue Outturn is attached at Appendix 2 and shows the Original Approved Budget for 2005-2006 in Column 1, the Revised Estimate (approved at the Budget meeting) in Column 2 with the Actual Outturn and Variations shown in Columns 3 and 4 respectively.

4.2 The final underspending of £3.4M is analysed below:-

| | £M |
|--|-------------|
| Accounting for Landfilll Allowance Trading scheme not identified at Budget time | -2.7 |
| Reduction in Waste Arisings through the WCA Contract with consequent reduction in Landfill Tax payment | -0.4 |
| Reduction in payments through the HWRC Contract and reductions in Landfill Tax | -0.1 |
| Increase in recycling creditts payable | +0.1 |
| Savings on JMWMS budget | -0.2 |
| Slippage on Procurement Project expenditure | <u>-0.1</u> |
| | <u>-3.4</u> |

- 4.3 The Authority commenced the year with balances of £4.8M (Column 1, Lines 15-18) and the £3.4M underspend in addition to the £1.2M identified in the Revised Estimate, increases the balances to £9.4M at 31 March 2006.
- 4.4 The levels of balances noted to be retained to cover the following situations, the cost of professional advisors to the Procurement Project, the risk of unseen contractual obligations and the need to utilise landfill allowances in coming years:-

| | £M |
|---|------------|
| Balances at 31 March 2006 | 9.4 |
| Less earmarked balances for Consultancy Costs | <u>1.5</u> |
| | 7.9 |

| Risk | Potential Impact | Risk Category |
|---|---|---------------|
| Need to utilise Landfill Allowances | The JMWMS identifies that the Authority will have to purchase landfill allowances in the interim period of the three contract implementation | HIGH |
| Contractual obligatons with landfill owners | That additional costs of statutory improvements in landfill conditions are passed on through its contracts with Mersey Waste Holdings Limited | HIGH |
| All costs of the Procurement Project | Likelihood that the three contract approach with other commissions required over and above the basic tender price | HIGH |
| Additional Waste Arisings | Any increases in waste arisings over and above the predicted level will increase contract cost | MEDIUM |

5. Prudential Indicators

The Authority set its Prudential Indicators and included them in its Budget for 2005-2006. Appendix 3 shows the original Indicators approved, the revised Indicators also approved at the 3 February 2006 meeting, the final outturn with explanations as appropriate.

IAN ROBERTS CARL BEER,

Treasurer to the Authority Director of Waste Disposal

The Contact Officer for this report is John Webster, Business Support Manager, Town Hall, St. Helens, WA10 1HP Telephone 01744 456096

BACKGROUND PAPERS

The following list of documents were used to complete this report and are available for public inspection for four years from the date of the meeting from the Contact Officer named above:

Budget Working Papers 2005/2006

Appendix 1

Capital Programme Outturn 2005-2006

| | Original Programme £000 | Revised Programme* £000 | Actual Outturn £000 | Variation £000 | Explanation |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---|
| Waste Management Facilities | | | | | |
| Bidston Moss/Gillmoss Integrated Facility | 12,281 | 8,518 | 8,310 | -208 | Minor slippage on IVC equipment and commissioning of the MRF plant |
| North Liverpool HWRC | 260 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Gillmoss New Technology Project | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| HWRC Improvements | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Closed Landfill Sites | | | | | |
| Billinge LFS | 770 | 743 | 333 | -410 | None completion of road and other site works due to planning negotiation delays |
| Various Sites | 60 | 0 | 17 | +17 | Scheme removed from Programme in error, expenditure committed |
| | 13,971 | 9,261 | 8,660 | <u>-601</u> | |

^{*}As approved in Prudential Indicator Monitoring Statement - Budget meeting 3/2/2006

Merseyside Waste Disposal Authority Revenue Budget Outturn 2005-2006 Summary

| | | Column 1 | Column 2 | Column 3 | Column 4 |
|-------------|--|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | | FORWARD BUDGET | REVISED ESTIMATE | FINAL OUTTURN | VARIATION TO |
| | | 2005-2006 | 2005-2006 | 2005-2006 | REVISED |
| | | £ | £ | £ | £ |
| 1. | MWDA ESTABLISHMENT | 1,439,997 | 1,540,562 | 1,536,286 | -4,276 |
| 2. | WASTE DISPOSAL CONTRACTS | 36,691,096 | 34,848,442 | 34,322,971 | -525,471 |
| 3. | CLOSED LANDFILL SITES | 289,400 | 301,200 | 249,734 | -51,466 |
| 4. | CAPITAL CHARGES & RENTS | 46,049 | 116,576 | 200,426 | +83,850 |
| 5. | RECYCLING CREDITS | 2,804,373 | 3,153,936 | 3,301,354 | +147,418 |
| 6. | JOINT MUNICIPAL WASTE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY | 365,400 | 364,430 | 198,298 | -166,132 |
| 7. | CLEAN MERSEYSIDE CENTRE | 10,000 | 10,000 | 18,680 | +8,680 |
| | NET COST OF SERVICES | 41,646,315 | 40,335,146 | 39,827,749 | -507,397 |
| 8. | INTEREST INCOME | -85,000 | 20,000 | -21,537 | -41,537 |
| 9. | DIVIDENDS | -300,000 | -300,000 | -300,008 | -8 |
| 10. | GAS RIGHTS | -100,000 | -100,000 | -99,941 | +59 |
| 11. | TRANSFER FROM ASSET MANAGEMENT REVENUE ACCOUNT | 1,349,582 | 1,082,453 | 1,090,009 | +7,556 |
| | NET OPERATING EXPENDITURE | 42,510,897 | 41,037,599 | 40,496,272 | -541,327 |
| 12. | CONTRIBUTION TO CAPITAL FINANCING ACCOUNT | 414,605 | 330,528 | 247,278 | -83,250 |
| 13. | LANDFILL ALLOWANCES | 2,300,000 | 2,200,000 | -547,967 | -2,747,967, |
| | TOTAL COST OF SERVICE | 45,225,502 | 43,568,127 | 40,195,583 | -3,372,544 |
| 14. | LEVY INCOME | -45,225,502 | -45,225,502 | -45,225,502 | - |
| NET IN Y | (SURPLUS)/CONTRIBUTION EAR | 0 | -1,657,375 | -5,029,919 | -3,372,544 |
| | ERVES - GENERAL | | | | |
| 15. | RESERVES - BALANCE AT START OF YEAR | -2,842,278 | -2,842,278 | -2,842,278 | 0 |
| 16. | (ADDED)/DEDUCTED IN THE YEAR | 0 | -1,657,375 | -5,029,919 | -3,372,544 |
| 17. | RESERVES AT END OF YEAR | -2,842,278 | -4,499,653 | -7,872,197 | -3,372,544 |

| FORWARD BUDGET 2005-2006 £ | REVISED ESTIMATE 2005-2006 £ | FINAL OUTTURN 2005-2006 £ | VARIATION TO REVISED £ |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| -2,000,000 | -2,000,000 | -2,000,000 | - |
| 518,920 | 518,920 | 454,795 | -64,125 |
| -1,481,080 | -1,481,080 | -1,545,205 | -64,125 |

RESERVES - EARMARKED 18. RESERVES - BALANCE AT START OF YEAR

- 19. (ADDED)/DEDUCTED IN YEAR
- 20. RESERVES AT END OF YEAR

Item 1 - MWDA Establishment

| · | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | Column 1 | Column 2 | Column 3 | Column 4 |
| | FORWARD | REVISED | FINAL | VARIATION |
| | BUDGET | ESTIMATE | OUTTURN | TO |
| | 2005-2006 | 2005-2006 | 2005-2006 | REVISED |
| | £ | £ | £ | £ |
| <u>EXPENDITURE</u> | | | | |
| Employees | 1,035,962 | 1,047,237 | 976,288 | -70,949 |
| Premises | 84,227 | 85,887 | 100,629 | +14,742 |
| Transport | 63,788 | 63,938 | 53,348 | -10,590 |
| Supplies | 247,120 | 251,950 | 315,336 | +63,386 |
| Agency | 130,000 | 160,550 | 136,620 | -23,930 |
| Support Services | 5,000 | 5,000 | 3,915 | -1,085 |
| Capital Financing | 2,500 | 6,000 | 16,839 | +10,839 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURE | 1,568,597 | 1,620,562 | 1,602,975 | -17,587 |
| INCOME | | | | |
| Capital Fees | -128,600 | -80,000 | -66,007 | +13,993 |
| Miscellaneous | - | - | -682 | -682 |
| TOTAL INCOME | -128,600 | -80,000 | -66,689 | +13,311 |
| NET EXPENDITURE | 1,439,997 | 1,540,562 | 1,536,286 | -4,276 |

<u>Item 2 - Waste Disposal Contracts</u>

| | Column 1 | Column 2 | Column 3 | Column 4 |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | FORWARD | REVISED | FINAL | VARIATION |
| | BUDGET | ESTIMATE | OUTTURN | TO REVISED |
| | 2005-2006 £ | 2005-2006 £ | 2005-2006 £ | REVISED £ |
| EXPENDITURE | | | ~ | ~ |
| Contract 1 | | | | |
| - Contract Payments | 18,633,445 | 17,964,450 | 17,647,239 | -317,211 |
| - Discounts | -3,904,922 | -3,377,442 | -3,365,526 | +11,916 |
| - Landfill Tax - O.D.S. | 10,215,198 715,678 | 9,922,428 569,897 | 9,788,904 <u>591,615</u> | -133,524 +21,718 |
| Sub-Total | 25,659,399 | 25,079,333 | 24,662,232 | -417,101 |
| 0.00 1.010. | _0,000,000 | _0,0.0,000 | _ :,00_,_0_ | , |
| Contract 2 | | | | |
| - Contract Payments | 8,829,994 | 8,378,439 | 8,314,165 | -64,274 |
| - Landfill Tax Sub-Total | <u>2,866,878</u> 11,696,872 | <u>2,078,352</u> 10,456,791 | <u>2,049,527</u> 10,363,692 | <u>-28,825</u> -93,099 |
| | , , , | | , , | · |
| Clinical Waste Disposal | 169,408 | 146,901 | 139,045 | -7,856 |
| Charity Waste Disposal | 13,000 | 13,000 | 10,014 | -2,986 |
| Hazardous Household Waste | 10,000 | 10,000 | 4,160 | -5,840 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURE | 37,548,679 | 35,706,025 | 35,179,143 | -526,882 |
| INCOME Charge for Disposal of Commercial Waste | | | | |
| Liverpool | -271,719 | -271,719 | -270,308 | +1,411 |
| St. Helens | -190,203 | -190,203 | -190,203 | - |
| Knowsley | -157,311 | -157,311 | -157,311 | - |
| Sefton | -238,350 | -238,350 | -238,350 | - |
| TOTAL INCOME | -857,583 | -857,583 | -856,172 | +1,411 |
| NET EXPENDITURE | 36,691,096 | 34,848,442 | 34,322,971 | -525,471 |

Item 3 - Closed Landfill Sites

| | Column 1 | Column 2 | Column 3 | Column 4 |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| | FORWARD | REVISED | FINAL | VARIATION |
| | BUDGET | ESTIMATE | OUTTURN | TO |
| | 2005-2006 | 2005-2006 | 2005-2006 | REVISED |
| | £ | £ | £ | £ |
| <u>EXPENDITURE</u> | | | | |
| Premises - Maintenance - Electricity - Trade Effluent - Other | 81,180 | 79,600 | 57,699 | -21,901 |
| | 19,650 | 19,525 | 15,272 | -4,253 |
| | 157,000 | 170,775 | 152,681 | -18,094 |
| | <u>4,800</u> | <u>4,530</u> | <u>4,530</u> | |
| | 262,630 | 274,430 | 230,182 | -44,248 |
| Supplies - Analyst Fees - Aerial Survey | 16,270 | 16,270 | 7,071 | -9,199 |
| | <u>10,500</u> | <u>10,500</u> | <u>12,481</u> | + <u>1,981</u> |
| | 26,770 | 26,770 | 19,552 | -7,218 |
| NET EXPENDITURE | 289,400 | 301,200 | 249,734 | -51,466 |

Item 4 - Capital Charges and Rents

EXPENDITURE Rents Capital Charges NET EXPENDITURE

| Column 1 | Column 2 | Column 3 | Column 4 |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|
| FORWARD | REVISED | FINAL | VARIATION |
| BUDGET | ESTIMATE | OUTTURN | TO |
| 2005-2006 | 2005-2006 | 2005-2006 | REVISED |
| £ | £ | £ | £ |
| | | | |
| 12,940 | 17,187 | 17,787 | +600 |
| 33,109 | 99,389 | 182,639 | +83,250 |
| 46,049 | 116,576 | 200,426 | +83,850 |

Item 5 - Recycling Credits

| | Column 1 | Column 2 Column | | Column 4 |
|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | FORWARD BUDGET | REVISED ESTIMATE | FINAL OUTTURN | VARIATION TO |
| | 2005-2006 | 2005-2006 | 2005-2006 | REVISED |
| | £ | £ | £ | £ |
| EXPENDITURE | | | | |
| Recycling Credits:- | | | | |
| Liverpool | 801,272 | 669,856 | 822,012 | +152,156 |
| Wirral | 632,143 | 681,472 | 671,360 | -10,112 |
| Sefton | 585,290 | 929,280 | 879,327 | -49,953 |
| Knowsley | 260,046 | 259,424 | 357,730 | +98,306 |
| St. Helens | 519,622 | 607,904 | 576,022 | <u>-31,882</u> |
| | 2,798,373 | 3,147,936 | 3,306.451 | +158,515 |
| Promotion | 6,000 | 6,000 | -5,097 | -11,097 |
| | | | | |
| NET EXPENDITURE | 2,804,373 | 3,153,936 | 3,301,354 | +147,418 |

Merseyside Waste Disposal Authority Prudential Indicators 2005-2006

| | Prudential Code Para. Reference | Summary of Indicator/Limit Rationale | | ← Allowe | ed → | 2005/2006 (£M (unless stat otherwise) ←Revised→ | | ←Outturn→ where appropria | Comment |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|-------------|--|------|------------------------------|--|
| Indicator 1 | 3.1 | Estimated capital expenditure for the year | Capital Expenditure | | 15.74 | , | 9.26 | | 8.66 Minor slippage in plant acquisition at Bidston Integrated facility. Planning delays at Billinge |
| | | | Financing of Capital | | | | | | |
| | | | Expenditure - Grants - Capital Receipts - Earmarked Reserves - Borrowing | 3.87 0.00 0.00 11.87 | 15.74 | 4.17 0.00 0.00 5.09 | 9.26 | 4.17 0.00 0.00 4.49 | Consequent reduction in financing requirement 8.66 |
| | | | Additional In-year Capital Financing (Borrowing) requirement - Borrowing (as above) - Less MRP/Set aside | 11.87 -0.41 | 11.46 | 5.09 -0.37 | 4.72 | 4.49 -0.37 | Consequent reduction in 4.12 borrowing |

| | Prudential Code Para. Reference | Summary of Indicator/Limit Rationale | | ← Allowe | ed → | 2005/20 (£M (unless otherwise Revise | stated se) | ← Outturr where appro | |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|-------------|---|---------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Indicator 2 | 3.2 | Intended to measure an Authority's underlying need to borrow to fund capital expenditure. There should be a clear linkage between this and the Authority's actual levels of external borrowing. The Code aims to ensure that over the medium term an Authority's net borrowing is only for a capital purpose and this Indicator (alongside Indicator 3) serves to ensure that this is demonstrable | End of Year Capital Financing (Borrowing) requirement - Requirement b/f - In-year requirement (from above) | 10.80 11.46 | 22.26 | 11.12 <u>4.72</u> | 15.84 | 11.12 _4.12 | Consequent reduction 15.24 |
| | | | Estimated/actual external borrowing - Estimated/actual b/f - In-year requirement (from above)/Actual in year movement | 10.72 <u>11.46</u> | 22.18 | 8.62 <u>4.72</u> | 13.34 | 8.62 <u>4.12</u> | Consequent reduction 12.74 |
| Indicator 3 | 3.3 | See Indicator 2 above | Estimated/actual net borrowing - External borrowing (from above) - Less investments held | 22.18 -3.73 | 18.45 | 13.34 | 13.34 | 12.74 _0.00 | Consequent reduction |

| | Prudential Code Para. Reference | Summary of Indicator/Limit Rationale | | ← Allowed → | 2005/2006 (£M (unless stated otherwise) ←Revised→ | ← Outturn → where appropriate | Comment |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| Indicator 4 | 3.4 | This Indicator shows the impact that the revenue costs of capital financing decisions will have on the Authority's General Fund budget over time. If the ratio of these costs is | Estimate of Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream - Debt Management Costs - Investment Interest (net of costs) - Minimum Revenue | 1.17 -0.08 0.41 | 1.08 0.02 0.37 | 1.00 -0.22 | |
| | | increasing over time this highlights that a larger part of revenue resource is being taken by capital financing costs. These sums could be used for other elements of a Local Authority budget | Provision (MRP) - Estimated Financing Costs as a proportion of - Net Revenue Stream (Budget 7.0) Ratio | 1.50 divided by 45.23 3.32% | 1.47 divided by 43.57 3.37% | 0.37 1.24 divided by 40.20 3.08% | No material change |
| Indicator 5 | 3.5 | Arguably the ultimate consideration of the affordability of the Authority's capital investment plans is the impact of those plans on the Authority Levy and consequently on differing Council Tax levels of the five Collection Authorities | Estimate of Impact of Capital Investment Decisions on Council Tax | N/A | N/A | N/A | The outturn figure is not relevant for the remaining Indicators |

| | Prudential Code Para. Reference | Summary of Indicator/Limit Rationale | | ← Allowe | • | 2005/20 £M (unless otherwis ← Revise | stated se) | ← Outturn → | Comment |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|-----------------|-------|--|---------------|---------------------------|---------|
| | | | | | | | | where appropriate | |
| Indicator 6 | 3.6 | This represents an absolute limit of | Authorised Limit for External Debt | 22.18 | | 13.34 | | N/A | |
| | | borrowing at any one point in time. It is not, nor is intended to be a sustainable level of borrowing, but more so an approved level of maximum debt that may arise due to timing issues around new borrowings, maturities, significant cashflow transactions and rescheduling activity | Estimated external borrowing (from above) | 22.10 | | 13.34 | | IN/A | |
| | | | - Allowance for unanticipated cashflow items calculated as 5% of Net Revenue Stream | 2.26 | | 2.18 | | N/A | |
| | | | Maturing borrowing refinanced prior to maturity of existing loans Allowance for restructuring of loan debt where new borrowing taken in advance of | 0.30 | | 0.30 | | N/A | |
| | | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | N/A | |
| | | | associated repayment - Allowance for borrowing in respect of subsequent 2 years requirements, where rates are rising | <u>1.00</u> | 26.74 | 1.00 | 17.82 | N/A | |

| | Prudential Code Para. Reference | Summary of Indicator/Limit Rationale | | ← Allowe | ed → | 2005/20 (£M (unless otherwis ← Revise | stated se) | ← Outturn → where appropriate | Comment |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|-----------------|-------------|--|---------------|--|---------|
| Indicator 7 | 3.7 | This represents a lower level boundary of debt levels that should trigger | Operational Boundary for External Debt - Estimated external | 22.18 | | 13.34 | | N/A | |
| | | investigation or review once it is exceeded | borrowing (from above) - Allowance for unanticipated cashflow items calculated as 2.5% | 1.13 | | 1.09 | | N/A | |
| | | | of Net Revenue Stream - Maturing borrowing refinanced prior to maturity of existing loans | 0.30 | 23.61 | 0.30 | 14.73 | N/A | |
| Indicator 8 | 3.8 | These limits seek to ensure that the Authority does not expose itself to an inappropriate level of | Interest Rate Exposures - Upper limit for fixed rate exposure on net principal outstanding sums | | 100% | | 100% | N/A | |
| | | interest rate risk, and has a suitable proportion of its debt secured at certain, fixed rates | Lower limit for fixed rate | | 60% | | 60% | N/A | |

| | Prudential Code Para. Reference | Summary of Indicator/Limit Rationale | | ← Allowed → | 2005/2006 (£M (unless stated otherwise) ← Revised→ | ←Outturn→ where appropriate | Comment |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---------|
| Indicator 9 | 3.9 | These limits also seek to ensure that the Authority does not expose itself to an inappropriate level of interest rate and refinancing risk by ensuring that significant proportions of its debt are not scheduled to mature at similar times | Maturing Structure of Borrowing - Upper limit on amount of projected borrowing that is fixed rate maturing in each period Under 12 months 12 months - 24 months 24 months - 5 years 5 years - 10 years 10 years and above | 20% 20% 40% 60% 90% | 20% 20% 40% 60% 90% | N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A | |
| | | | - Lower limit on amount of projected borrowing that is fixed rate maturing in each period Under 12 months 12 months - 24 months 24 months - 5 years 5 years - 10 years and above | 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% | 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% | N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A | |
| Indicator 10 | 3.1 | These limits seek to ensure liquidity and reduce the likelihood of any inherent or associated risk | Total principal sums invested for periods longer than 364 days | 20% | 20% | N/A | |