

ORGANISATION:  
FUNDING:

PROJECT TITLE:

**NOTES FOR FUNDING STREAMS ONE AND TWO**

Criteria Scores: Total = 100. Max score for each criterion is 10.

Maximum score available is 50 for quantity criteria (Numbers 1-5) and 50 for quality criteria (Numbers 6-10).

To be eligible for funding a project must score a minimum total of 40

This table currently includes information to go in the guidance notes and reference to the appropriate section will be identified here.

<b>CRITERIA</b>  (Only give one score in one of the three boxes provided against each criterion).	<b>Score 0-3</b>  Not included very limited information provided or limited opportunity/numbers and risk of not delivering.	<b>Score 4-7</b>  Partially Met but some key information not addressed or included	<b>Score 8-10</b>  Fully Met with clear and near/ complete information provision; numbers are deliverable but challenging
<b>QUANTITY CRITERIA</b>			
1. Will the project increase recycling or re-use and repair?  <b>NOTE:</b> Give the estimated amount of waste expected to be recycled or re-used or repaired during project (in kg or tonnes). These will be items that are diverted from landfill.  <b>EVALUATION MEASURE :</b> Cost per tonne diverted from landfill  (This should be less than the £102 is costs MRWA to send one tonne of waste to landfill)			

<p><b>2. Will the project reduce the amount of waste produced or prevent it in the first place?</b></p> <p><b>NOTE: Give the amount of waste expected to be reduced, prevented/avoided during the project (in kg or tonnes). This can include use of composters, avoiding food waste, junk mail. Or household items that are not thrown away and don't enter the waste stream.</b></p> <p><b>EVALUATION MEASURE: Cost of waste promotion activities per £1 funding applied for. This will be calculated by MRWA officers based only information provided in the application</b></p>			
<p><b>3. Will the project reduce the climate change/carbon impact of waste management?</b></p> <p><b>NOTE: This will be calculated by MRWA officers based only on information provided in the application. Reduction in carbon emissions will be mainly from through recycling, diversion from landfill, waste prevention or reduction in travel Examples will be given in guidance notes for food, textiles, composting and junk mail.</b></p> <p><b>EVALUATION MEASURE: CO2 reduction in tonnes per waste stream</b></p>			
<p><b>4. Will the project engage with residents or stakeholders on Merseyside?</b></p> <p><b>NOTE: Give the number of people the project is committed to engage through involvement in project and/or attendance at events.</b></p>			

<p><b>EVALUATION MEASURE: Cost per contact in application</b></p>			
<p><b>5. Will the project support economic growth?</b></p> <p><b>NOTE: Give the estimated numbers of full time, part time job created or safeguarded or opportunities for volunteering? Identify potential for skills development in managing resources and waste.</b></p> <p><b>EVALUATION MEASURE: Number of full time equivalent posts (created, safeguarded or volunteers).</b></p>			
<b>QUALITY CRITERIA</b>			
<p><b>6. Will the project promote behavioral or cultural change?</b></p> <p><b>How will the project seek to encourage members of the community to participate in waste management activities such as waste prevention, re-use and recycling activities?</b></p>			
<p><b>7. What are the community benefits from this project?</b></p> <p><b>What are the social benefits this project may bring through waste management e.g. enhancing local places and environment, support to vulnerable members of the community?</b></p>			
<p><b>8. How will the project be planned?</b></p> <p><b>Is there a timetable for activities and can the project be delivered on time to budget?</b></p> <p><b>This can include projects that will be planned over more than one year and are sustainable but will still need to submit further applications in future years for further funding.</b></p>			

<p><b>9. How will the project be managed?</b></p> <p><b>Is there an identified lead person for the project and is there a project plan with tasks, resources and finances allocated effectively?</b></p> <p><b>Has a risk assessment been provided or is it clear that the risks of the project being delivered have been identified and managed?</b></p>			
<p><b>10. Are there any additional benefits?</b></p> <p><b>Has the project identified other in kind support from other organisations and funding?</b></p>			
<b>Met Minimum Overall Score Threshold</b>	<b>YES/NO</b>		
<b>OVERALL SCORE</b>			

**Agreed outputs confirmed in the grant letter must be monitored and reported back to the Authority. Clarification on outputs and evaluation measures can be discussed with the Authority prior to the submission of an application.**

<b>Carbon Ready Reckoner: Examples of indicative carbon figures for waste streams reused or recycled</b>
1 tonne of food waste = 0.75 tonne of CO2 reduction
1 tonne of textiles = 3.9 tonnes of CO2 reduction
1 tonne of garden waste composted = 0.89 tonne of CO2 reduction
1 tonne of junk mail (paper and card) = 0.95t tonne of CO2 reduction.